

**CLACKAMAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**  
**Staff Presentation Worksheet**

**Presentation Date:** November 24, 2009      **Time:** 1:30 PM      **Length:** 60 minutes

**Presentation Title:** Regional Reserves project – Review of issues remaining from Sept. 10, 2009 BCC recommendation, review current issues

**Department:** Transportation and Development, Planning Division

**Presenters:** Doug McClain & Maggie Dickerson, Planning Division; Ellen Rogalin, DTD  
Community Relations Specialist

**POLICY QUESTION**

Is there additional input or guidance you would like to give to Commissioner Charlotte Lehan to take to the regional discussions on urban and rural reserves?

**ISSUE & BACKGROUND**

The region-wide urban and rural reserves project is aimed at identifying urban reserves (places where the Portland Metro Urban Growth Boundary [UGB] can expand in the next 40–50 years) and rural reserves (places the UGB will not expand in the next 40–50 years). In Clackamas County, there has been an extensive process for review, culminating at the Sept. 10, 2009 BCC business meeting when the Board made preliminary recommendations to the region for urban and rural reserves in Clackamas County. At that time, the BCC also asked staff to further investigate some specific issues.

Since then, Clackamas County's Core 4 representative Commissioner Charlotte Lehan has represented the County's position at the regional table. The Core 4 is moving towards agreement on a recommended regional urban and rural reserves map that will be taken out for public review in January 2010 and incorporated in intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) soon thereafter. The Core 4 is currently scheduled to meet December 4, 9 and 16, and January 11, and public open houses are planned throughout the region in early to mid January.

**QUESTION(S) PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION**

1. Does the Board have a good understanding of the outstanding issues in each reserves area?
2. Does the Board wish to provide additional input to these areas?
  1. South of Sherwood
  2. Stafford
  3. Pete's Mountain – RR 1
  4. Areas north, east and south of Oregon City
  5. South of Damascus
  6. East of Damascus/Clackanomah/Areas around Sandy
  7. Areas around Estacada
  8. Areas around Canby

The *Discussion Areas* attachment reviews the Board's issues/concerns from September 10, and additional information and/or action since then.

B. Issues around defining specific boundaries:

1. Property lines
2. Rounding off and blocking up
3. Ribbons of natural features
4. Rivers and streams that could be protected inside urban or undesignated areas
5. Consider watersheds as boundaries
6. Continents, islands and donut holes

**OPTIONS AVAILABLE**

Today's discussion is intended to help assist the County's Core 4 member during final discussions of the Proposed Reserves map.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Discuss issues and concerns and provide feedback to Commissioner Lehan and staff as they prepare the final proposed map and IGA.

**SUBMITTED BY:**

Division Director/Head Approval RSF  
Department Director/Head Approval aug  
County Administrator Approval \_\_\_\_\_

For information on this issue or copies of attachments, contact Maggie Dickerson @ 503 742 4534.